25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

SAMUEL BELTON,

Plaintiff,

2:17-CV-03125-RFB-VCF

ORDER

VS.

JAMES COX, et al.,

Defendants.

Before the court is Plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF No. 22). Since this motion is filed as *ex-parte*, Defendants received no notice of the filing of instant motion through CM/ECF. Plaintiff has not provided the court with any reason to grant the relief on an *ex parte* basis.

Pursuant to Local Rule IA 7-2(b), neither party nor an attorney for any party may make an *ex parte* communication with the court except as specifically permitted by the local rules or the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Here, Plaintiff has not given good cause or any compelling reason why the instant motion was submitted to the Court without notice to defendant.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF No. 22) will be briefed in the ordinary course.

The Clerk of Court is directed to remove the ex parte status Plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF No. 22).

DATED this 8th day of January, 2020.

CAM FERENBACH

Contacted.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE